Optimization for Machine Learning

Optimization for machine learning

- Many engineering disciplines cannot survive without optimization
- Including machine learning
- The generic ERM + regularization minimization:

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{w}} \sum_{i}^{n} L(f_{\boldsymbol{w}}(x_i), y_i) + \Omega(\boldsymbol{w})$$

Minimize a sum of loss function on every training example

How to solve optimization problems

 $\min_{\boldsymbol{w}} f(\boldsymbol{w})$ $\nabla f(\boldsymbol{w}) = \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_1}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_d}\right]^{\mathsf{T}}$

• First-order condition (Stationarity):

$$\nabla f(\boldsymbol{w}) = 0$$

- *Necessary* for optimality
 - Not *sufficient*!
 - Sufficient when f(w) convex (will talk about later)

Try take some gradients

- The gradient of $w^{\top}x$ w.r.t. to w?
- The gradient of $(w^{T}x y)^{2}$ w.r.t. to w?

Gradient Descent

while $\|\nabla(w)\| > \epsilon$ $w = w - \alpha \nabla f(w)$

 $\min_w f(w)$

 α : Step size (Learning rate)



Line search, step size

- One needs the correct step size to converge faster
- In traditional optimization, in order to decide step-size, line search was often used on the descent direction
 - Satisfy certain conditions (e.g. Armijo-Goldstein, Frank-Wolfe)



Gradient direction can be bad

- $\min_{w_1,w_2} (w_1 1)^2 + 100(w_2 1)^2$
- What is $\nabla f(w)$?
- What is *∇f*(*w*) at (0,0)?
- What is a good step size?
- That's why usually need second-order information
 - Curiously deep learning does not often use second-order information

2(W,-1) (W_2,W)

W = W

Hessian

• The Hessian:
$$\mathbf{H} = \nabla^2 f = \begin{pmatrix} \partial^2 f / \partial w_1^2 & \cdots & \partial^2 f / \partial w_1 \partial w_d \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \partial^2 f / \partial w_d \partial w_1 & \cdots & \partial^2 f / \partial w_d^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

• A second-order Taylor expansion:

$$f(w) = f(a) + \nabla_w f(a)(w-a) + \frac{1}{2}(w-a)^T H(a)(w-a) + o(||w-a||^2)$$

$$m_w f(w)$$

$$\nabla_w f(a) + b(a)(w-a) = 0$$



d = [P²fhu)] Pfew $H^{-1} = \underset{i=1}{\overset{R}{\overset{}}}_{i}h_{i}h_{i}$ $H^{(i)} = \frac{k}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} 2_i h_i} \left[\frac{1}{k_i} \frac{1}{2 f(w)} \right]$

himited-manary Newton method LBFGS

Convexity

• F is convex if

 $\forall x_1, x_2 \in X, \forall t \in [0,1], \\ f(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2) \le tf(x_1) + (1-t)f(x_2)$

- First order condition: $f(y) \ge f(x) + \nabla f(x)^{\top}(y-x).$
- Second order condition:
- $\nabla^2 f(x) \ge 0$



Positive semi-definiteness review

- Important concept in linear algebra
- **M** *p*.*s*.*d*. \Leftrightarrow **z**^T**Mz** \ge 0
- All eigenvalues of M are nonnegative
- All principal minors are nonnegative
- Positive-definiteness:
 - (Change >=0 to >0)



Saddle Point

- Stationarity doesn't necessarily mean local optimum
 - Simple example: $z = x^2 y^2$
 - x = 0, y = 0
- Definition of local optimum
 - Stationary + Locally (strongly) convex



Nonconvexity

