# CS 331: Artificial Intelligence Fundamentals of Probability III 

Full Joint Probability Distributions

| Coin | Card | Candy | $\mathbf{P}($ Coin, Card, Candy $)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tails | black | 1 | 0.15 |
| tails | black | 2 | 0.06 |
| tails | black | 3 | 0.09 |
| tails | red | 1 | 0.02 |
| tails | red | 2 | 0.06 |
| tails | red | 3 | 0.12 |
| heads | black | 1 | 0.075 |
| heads | black | 2 | 0.03 |
| heads | black | 3 | 0.045 |
| heads | red | 1 | 0.035 |
| heads | red | 2 | 0.105 |
| heads | red | 3 | 0.21 |

## Marginalization

The general marginalization rule for any sets of variables $\boldsymbol{Y}$ and $\boldsymbol{Z}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{P}(\boldsymbol{Y})=\sum_{\mathbf{z}} \boldsymbol{P}(\boldsymbol{Y}, \mathbf{z}) \\
& \text { or } \\
& \boldsymbol{P}(\boldsymbol{Y})=\sum_{\mathbf{z}} \boldsymbol{P}(\boldsymbol{Y} \mid \mathbf{z}) P(\mathbf{z})
\end{aligned}
$$

## Conditional Probabilities

We can also compute conditional probabilities from the joint. Recall:

$$
P(A \mid B)=\frac{P(A, B)}{P(B)}
$$

## Inference

We will write the query as $\boldsymbol{P}(X \mid \boldsymbol{e})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad \boldsymbol{P}(X \mid \boldsymbol{e})=\alpha \boldsymbol{P}(X, \boldsymbol{e})=\alpha \sum_{\boldsymbol{y}} \boldsymbol{P}(X, \boldsymbol{e}, \boldsymbol{y}) \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { Summation is over all possible } \\
\text { combinations of values of the } \\
\text { unobserved variables } \boldsymbol{Y}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$X=$ Query variable (a single variable for now)
$\boldsymbol{E}=$ Set of evidence variables
$\boldsymbol{e}=$ the set of observed values for the evidence variables
$\boldsymbol{Y}=$ Unobserved variables

## Bayes' Rule

The product rule can be written in two ways:
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{B}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B})$
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B} \mid \mathrm{A}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})$

You can combine the equations above to get:

$$
P(B \mid A)=\frac{P(A \mid B) P(B)}{P(A)}
$$

## Bayes’ Rule

More generally, the following is known as Bayes' Rule:


$$
\boldsymbol{P}(A \mid B)=\frac{\boldsymbol{P}(B \mid A) \boldsymbol{P}(A)}{\boldsymbol{P}(B)}
$$

Note that these are distributions

Sometimes, you can treat $\boldsymbol{P}(\mathrm{B})$ as a normalization constant $\alpha$

$$
\boldsymbol{P}(A \mid B)=\alpha \boldsymbol{P}(B \mid A) \boldsymbol{P}(A)
$$

## More General Forms of Bayes Rule

If A takes 2 values:

$$
P(A \mid B)=\frac{P(B \mid A) P(A)}{P(B \mid A) P(A)+P(B \mid \neg A) P(\neg A)}
$$

If A takes $n_{A}$ values:

$$
P\left(A=v_{i} \mid B\right)=\frac{P\left(B \mid A=v_{i}\right) P\left(A=v_{i}\right)}{\sum_{k=1}^{n_{A}} P\left(B \mid A=v_{k}\right) P\left(A=v_{k}\right)}
$$

## When is Bayes Rule Useful?

Sometimes it's easier to get $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X} \mid \mathrm{Y})$ than $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Y} \mid \mathrm{X})$.

Information is typically available in the form $P($ effect | cause ) rather than $\mathrm{P}($ cause | effect )

For example, P ( symptom | disease ) is easy to measure empirically but obtaining P ( disease symptom ) is harder

## Bayes Rule Example

Meningitis causes stiff necks with probability 0.5 . The prior probability of having meningitis is 0.00002 . The prior probability of having a stiff neck is 0.05 . What is the probability of having meningitis given that you have a stiff neck?

Let $m=$ patient has meningitis
Let $s=$ patient has stiff neck
$\mathrm{P}(s \mid m)=0.5$
$\mathrm{P}(m)=0.00002$
$\mathrm{P}(s)=0.05$
$P(m \mid s)=\frac{P(s \mid m) P(m)}{P(s)}=\frac{(0.5)(0.00002)}{0.05}=0.0002$

## Bayes Rule Example

Meningitis causes stiff necks with probability 0.5 . The prior probability of having meningitis is 0.00002 . The prior probability of having a stiff neck is 0.05 . What is the probability of having meningitis given that you have a stiff neck?

Let $m=$ patient has meningitis
Let $s=$ patient has stiff neck
$\mathrm{P}(s \mid m)=0.5$
$\mathrm{P}(m)=0.00002$

Note: Even though $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{s} \mid \mathrm{m})=0.5$,
$P(\mathrm{~m} \mid \mathrm{s})=0.0002$
$\mathrm{P}(s)=0.05$

$$
P(m \mid s)=\frac{P(s \mid m) P(m)}{P(s)}=\frac{(0.5)(0.00002)}{0.05}=0.0002
$$

## How is Bayes Rule Used

In machine learning, we use Bayes rule in the following way:


Prior probability
$h=$ hypothesis
$D=$ data
$\underbrace{\boldsymbol{P}(h \mid D)}=\frac{\boldsymbol{P}(D \mid h) \boldsymbol{P}(h)}{\boldsymbol{P}(D)}$


## Bayes Rule With More Than One Piece of Evidence

Suppose you now have 2 evidence variables Card=red and Candy=1 (note that Coin is uninstantiated below)
$\boldsymbol{P}($ Coin $\mid$ Card $=$ red, Candy $=1)$
$=\alpha \boldsymbol{P}($ Card $=$ red, Candy $=1 \mid$ Coin $) \boldsymbol{P}($ Coin $)$
In order to calculate $\boldsymbol{P}($ Card $=$ red, Candy $=1 \mid$ Coin $)$, you need a table of 6 probability values. With N Boolean evidence variables, you need $2^{\mathrm{N}}$ probability values.

## Independence

We say that variables X and Y are independent if any of the following hold:
(note that they are all equivalent)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{P}(X \mid Y)=\boldsymbol{P}(X) \text { or } \\
& \boldsymbol{P}(Y \mid X)=\boldsymbol{P}(Y) \text { or } \\
& \boldsymbol{P}(X, Y)=\boldsymbol{P}(X) \boldsymbol{P}(Y)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Why is independence useful?

$P($ Card, Candy $)=P($ Card $) P($ Candy $)$


- You now need to store 5 values to calculate $\boldsymbol{P}$ (Coin, Card, Candy)
- Without independence, we needed 6


## Conditional Independence

Suppose I tell you that to select a piece of Candy, I first flip a Coin. If heads, I select a Card from one (stacked) deck; if tails, I select from a different (stacked) deck. The color of the card determines the bag I select the Candy from, and each bag has a different mix of the types of Candy.

Are Coin and Candy independent?

## Conditional Independence

Suppose I tell you that to select a piece of Candy, I first flip a Coin. If heads, I select a Card from one deck; if tails, I select from a different deck. The color of the card determines the bag I select the Candy from, and each bag has a different mix of the types of Candy.

Are Coin and Candy independent? No.

But given Card, they are independent!

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(\text { Coin }=\text { heads }, \text { Cand }=3 \mid \text { Card })= \\
& P(\text { Coin }=\text { heads } \mid \text { Card }) \times P(\text { Candy }=3 \mid \text { Card })
\end{aligned}
$$

## Conditional Independence

## General form:

$\boldsymbol{P}(A, B \mid C)=\boldsymbol{P}(A \mid C) \boldsymbol{P}(B \mid C)$
Or equivalently:
$\boldsymbol{P}(A \mid B, C)=\boldsymbol{P}(A \mid C)$ and
$\boldsymbol{P}(B \mid A, C)=\boldsymbol{P}(B \mid C)$
How to think about conditional independence:
In $\mathrm{P}(A \mid B, C)=\mathrm{P}(A \mid C)$ : if knowing $C$ tells me everything about A, I don't gain anything by knowing $B$

## Conditional Independence



Conditional independence permits probabilistic systems to scale up!

## Candy Example

| Coin | $\mathbf{P}($ Coin $)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| tails | 0.5 |
| heads | 0.5 |


| Coin | Card | $\mathbf{P}($ Card \| Coin $)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tails | black | 0.6 |
| tails | red | 0.4 |
| heads | black | 0.3 |
| heads | red | 0.7 |


| Card | Candy | P(Candy $\mid$ Card $)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| black | 1 | 0.5 |
| black | 2 | 0.2 |
| black | 3 | 0.3 |
| red | 1 | 0.1 |
| red | 2 | 0.3 |
| red | 3 | 0.6 |

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(\text { Coin }=\text { heads }, \text { Card }=\text { red }, \text { Candy }=3)= \\
P(\text { Coin }=\text { heads }) \times P(\text { Card }=\text { red } \mid \text { Coin }=\text { heads }) \times \\
P(\text { Candy }=3 \mid \text { Card }=\text { red })=
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
0.5 \times 0.7 \times 0.6=0.21
$$

## Practice

| Coin | $\mathbf{P}($ Coin $)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| tails | 0.5 |
| heads | 0.5 |


| Coin | Card | P(Card $\mid$ Coin $)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tails | black | 0.6 |
| tails | red | 0.4 |
| heads | black | 0.3 |
| heads | red | 0.7 |


| Card | Candy | $\mathbf{P}($ Candy $\mid$ Card $)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| black | 1 | 0.5 |
| black | 2 | 0.2 |
| black | 3 | 0.3 |
| red | 1 | 0.1 |
| red | 2 | 0.3 |
| red | 3 | 0.6 |

Compute $P($ Coin $=$ tails $\mid$ Card $=$ red $)$

## What You Should Know

- How to do inference in joint probability distributions
- How to use Bayes Rule
- Why independence and conditional independence is useful

