CS 331: Artificial Intelligence Informed Search



- How can we make search smarter?
- Use problem-specific knowledge beyond the definition of the problem itself
- Specifically, incorporate knowledge of how good a non-goal state is

1

















Complete?	No (could start down an infinite path)
Optimal?	
Time Complexity	
Space Complexity	

Complete?	No (could start down an infinite path)
Optimal?	No
Time Complexity	
Space Complexity	

Complete?	No (could start down an infinite path)
Optimal?	No
Time Complexity	O(b ^m)
Space Complexity	

Complete?	No (could start down an infinite
	path)
Optimal?	No
Time Complexity	O(b ^m)
Space Complexity	O(b ^m)





























Evaluating A* Search

With a consistent heuristic, A* is complete, optimal and optimally efficient. Could this be the answer to our searching problems?

29



Summary of A* Search

Complete?	Yes if $h(n)$ is consistent, b is finite, and all step costs exceed some finite ε^{1}
Optimal?	
Time Complexity	
Space Complexity	

 1 Since f(n) is nondecreasing, we must eventually hit an $f(n)=\mbox{cost}$ of the path to a goal state

Summary of A* Search

Complete?	Yes if $h(n)$ is consistent, b is finite, and all step costs exceed some finite ε^{1}
Optimal?	Yes if h(n) is consistent and admissible
Time Complexity	
Space Complexity	

 1 Since f(n) is nondecreasing, we must eventually hit an f(n) = cost of the path to a goal state

Summary of A* Search

Complete?	Yes if $h(n)$ is consistent, b is finite, and all step costs exceed some finite ε^{1}
Optimal?	Yes if h(n) is consistent and admissible
Time Complexity	O(b ^d) (In the worst case but a good heuristic can reduce this significantly)
Space Complexity	

 1 Since f(n) is nondecreasing, we must eventually hit an $f(n)=\mbox{cost}$ of the path to a goal state

Summary of A* Search

Complete?	Yes if $h(n)$ is consistent, b is finite, and all step costs exceed some finite ϵ^{1}
Optimal?	Yes if h(n) is consistent and admissible
Time Complexity	O(b ^d) (In the worst case but a good heuristic can reduce this significantly)
Space Complexity	O(b ^d) – Needs O(number of states), will run out of memory for large search spaces

 1 Since f(n) is nondecreasing, we must eventually hit an f(n) = cost of the path to a goal state









Depth	# nodes expanded		
	IDS	A*(h ₁)	A*(h ₂)
2	10	6	6
4	112	13	12
6	680	20	18
8	6384	39	25
10	47127	93	39
12	3644035	227	73
14		539	113
16		1301	211
18		3056	363
20		7276	676
22		18094	1219
24		39135	1641

Which heuristic is better?

From Russell and Norvig Figure 4.8 (Results averaged over 100 instances of the 8-puzzle for depths 2-24).



