

# CS 331: Artificial Intelligence Fundamentals of Probability II

Thanks to Andrew Moore for some course material

1

## Full Joint Probability Distributions

| Coin  | Card  | Candy | P(Coin, Card, Candy) |
|-------|-------|-------|----------------------|
| tails | black | 1     | 0.15                 |
| tails | black | 2     | 0.06                 |
| tails | black | 3     | 0.09                 |
| tails | red   | 1     | 0.02                 |
| tails | red   | 2     | 0.06                 |
| tails | red   | 3     | 0.12                 |
| heads | black | 1     | 0.075                |
| heads | black | 2     | 0.03                 |
| heads | black | 3     | 0.045                |
| heads | red   | 1     | 0.035                |
| heads | red   | 2     | 0.105                |
| heads | red   | 3     | 0.21                 |

The probabilities in the last column sum to 1

This cell means  $P(\text{Coin=heads, Card=red, Candy=3}) = 0.21$

2

## Joint Probability Distribution

From the full joint probability distribution, we can calculate any probability involving these three random variables.

e.g.  $P(\text{Coin} = \text{heads OR Card} = \text{red})$

## Joint Probability Distribution

$P(\text{Coin} = \text{heads OR Card} = \text{red}) =$

$P(\text{Coin}=\text{heads, Card}=\text{black, Candy}=1) +$   
 $P(\text{Coin}=\text{heads, Card}=\text{black, Candy}=2) +$   
 $P(\text{Coin}=\text{heads, Card}=\text{black, Candy}=3) +$   
 $P(\text{Coin}=\text{tails, Card}=\text{red, Candy}=1) +$   
 $P(\text{Coin}=\text{tails, Card}=\text{red, Candy}=2) +$   
 $P(\text{Coin}=\text{tails, Card}=\text{red, Candy}=3) +$   
 $P(\text{Coin}=\text{heads, Card}=\text{red, Candy}=1) +$   
 $P(\text{Coin}=\text{heads, Card}=\text{red, Candy}=2) +$   
 $P(\text{Coin}=\text{heads, Card}=\text{red, Candy}=3)$

$= 0.075 + 0.03 + 0.045 + 0.02 + 0.06 + 0.12 + 0.035 + 0.105 + 0.21 = 0.7$

## Marginalization

We can even calculate **marginal probabilities** (the probability distribution over a subset of the variables) e.g.:

$P(\text{Coin}=\text{tails, Card}=\text{red}) =$

$P(\text{Coin}=\text{tails, Card}=\text{red, Candy}=1) +$

$P(\text{Coin}=\text{tails, Card}=\text{red, Candy}=2) +$

$P(\text{Coin}=\text{tails, Card}=\text{red, Candy}=3)$

$= 0.02 + 0.06 + 0.12 = 0.2$

5

## Marginalization

Or even:

$P(\text{Card}=\text{black}) =$

$P(\text{Coin}=\text{heads, Card}=\text{black, Candy}=1) +$

$P(\text{Coin}=\text{heads, Card}=\text{black, Candy}=2) +$

$P(\text{Coin}=\text{heads, Card}=\text{black, Candy}=3) +$

$P(\text{Coin}=\text{tails, Card}=\text{black, Candy}=1) +$

$P(\text{Coin}=\text{tails, Card}=\text{black, Candy}=2) +$

$P(\text{Coin}=\text{tails, Card}=\text{black, Candy}=3)$

$= 0.075 + 0.03 + 0.045 + 0.015 + 0.06 + 0.09 = 0.315$

6

## Marginalization

The general marginalization rule for any **sets** of variables  $Y$  and  $Z$ :

$$P(Y) = \sum_z P(Y, z)$$

or

$$P(Y) = \sum_z P(Y | z)P(z)$$

$z$  is over all possible combinations of values of  $Z$  (remember  $Z$  is a set)

7

## Marginalization

For continuous variables, marginalization involves taking the integral:

$$P(Y) = \int P(Y, z) dz$$

8

## CW: Practice

Compute

$P(\text{Candy} = 2)$ .

| Coin  | Card  | Candy | P(Coin, Card, Candy) |
|-------|-------|-------|----------------------|
| tails | black | 1     | 0.15                 |
| tails | black | 2     | 0.06                 |
| tails | black | 3     | 0.09                 |
| tails | red   | 1     | 0.02                 |
| tails | red   | 2     | 0.06                 |
| tails | red   | 3     | 0.12                 |
| heads | black | 1     | 0.075                |
| heads | black | 2     | 0.03                 |
| heads | black | 3     | 0.045                |
| heads | red   | 1     | 0.035                |
| heads | red   | 2     | 0.105                |
| heads | red   | 3     | 0.21                 |

9

## Conditional Probabilities

We can also compute conditional probabilities from the joint. Recall:

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A, B)}{P(B)}$$

## Conditional Probabilities

$P(\text{Coin} = \text{heads} | \text{Card} = \text{black})$

$$= \frac{P(\text{Coin}=\text{heads}, \text{Card}=\text{black})}{P(\text{Card}=\text{black})} = \frac{0.075+0.03+0.045}{0.15+0.06+0.09+0.075+0.03+0.045} = 0.333$$

$P(\text{Coin} = \text{tails} | \text{Card} = \text{black})$

$$= \frac{P(\text{Coin}=\text{tails}, \text{Card}=\text{black})}{P(\text{Card}=\text{black})} = \frac{0.15+0.06+0.09}{0.15+0.06+0.09+0.075+0.03+0.045} = 0.667$$

## Conditional Probabilities

$P(\text{Coin} = \text{heads} | \text{Card} = \text{black})$

$$= \frac{P(\text{Coin}=\text{heads}, \text{Card}=\text{black})}{P(\text{Card}=\text{black})} = \frac{0.075+0.03+0.045}{0.15+0.06+0.09+0.075+0.03+0.045} = 0.333$$

$P(\text{Coin} = \text{tails} | \text{Card} = \text{black})$

$$= \frac{P(\text{Coin}=\text{tails}, \text{Card}=\text{black})}{P(\text{Card}=\text{black})} = \frac{0.15+0.06+0.09}{0.15+0.06+0.09+0.075+0.03+0.045} = 0.667$$

Note that  $1/P(\text{Card}=\text{black})$  remains constant in the two equations.

## Normalization

- In fact,  $1/P(\text{Card})$  can be viewed as a **normalization constant** for  $P(\text{Coin} | \text{Card})$ , ensuring it adds up to 1
- We will refer to normalization constants with the symbol  $\alpha$

$$P(\text{Coin} | \text{black}) = \alpha P(\text{Coin}, \text{black})$$

13

## CW: Practice

Compute  $P(\text{Candy} = 1 | \text{Card} = \text{red})$ .

| Coin  | Card  | Candy | P(Coin, Card, Candy) |
|-------|-------|-------|----------------------|
| tails | black | 1     | 0.15                 |
| tails | black | 2     | 0.06                 |
| tails | black | 3     | 0.09                 |
| tails | red   | 1     | 0.02                 |
| tails | red   | 2     | 0.06                 |
| tails | red   | 3     | 0.12                 |
| heads | black | 1     | 0.075                |
| heads | black | 2     | 0.03                 |
| heads | black | 3     | 0.045                |
| heads | red   | 1     | 0.035                |
| heads | red   | 2     | 0.105                |
| heads | red   | 3     | 0.21                 |

14

## Inference

- Suppose you get a query such as  $P(\text{Card} = \text{red} | \text{Coin} = \text{heads})$

Coin is called the evidence variable because we observe it. More generally, it's a set of variables.

Card is called the query variable (we'll assume it's a single variable for now)

There are also unobserved (aka hidden) variables like Candy

15

## Inference

- We will write the query as  $P(X | e)$

This is a probability distribution hence the boldface

$X$  = Query variable (a single variable for now)

$E$  = Set of evidence variables

$e$  = the set of observed values for the evidence variables

$Y$  = Unobserved variables

16

## Inference

We will write the query as  $P(X | e)$

$$P(X | e) = \alpha P(X, e) = \alpha \sum_y P(X, e, y)$$

Summation is over all possible combinations of values of the unobserved variables  $Y$

$X$  = Query variable (a single variable for now)

$E$  = Set of evidence variables

$e$  = the set of observed values for the evidence variables

$Y$  = Unobserved variables

## Inference

$$P(X | e) = \alpha P(X, e) = \alpha \sum_y P(X, e, y)$$

Computing  $P(X | e)$  involves going through all possible entries of the full joint probability distribution and adding up probabilities with  $X=x_i$ ,  $E=e$ , and  $Y=y$

Suppose you have a domain with  $n$  Boolean variables. What is the space and time complexity of computing  $P(X | e)$ ?

18

## Independence

- How do you avoid the exponential space and time complexity of inference?
- Use independence (aka factoring)

19

## Independence

We say that variables  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent if any of the following hold: (note that they are all equivalent)

$$P(X | Y) = P(X) \text{ or}$$

$$P(Y | X) = P(Y) \text{ or}$$

$$P(X, Y) = P(X)P(Y)$$

20

## Independence

Consider the full joint distribution over these variables:

$Card = \{red, black\}$

$Candy = \{1, 2, 3\}$

By the product rule, we know:

$$\begin{aligned} P(Card, Candy) \\ = P(Card|Candy)P(Candy) \end{aligned}$$

21

## Independence

Suppose I tell you that these two events are independent (i.e. they do not influence each other).

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} P(Card, Candy) \\ = P(Card|Candy)P(Candy) \\ = P(Card)P(Candy) \end{aligned}$$

22

## Why is independence useful?

$$P(Card, Candy) = P(Card)P(Candy)$$

This table has 2 values

This table has 3 values

- You now need to store 5 values to calculate  $P(Coin, Card, Candy)$
- Without independence, we needed 6

23

## Independence

Another example:

- Suppose you have  $n$  coin flips and you want to calculate the joint distribution  $P(C_1, \dots, C_n)$
- If the coin flips are not independent, you need  $2^n$  values in the table
- If the coin flips are independent, then

$$P(C_1, \dots, C_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(C_i)$$

Each  $P(C_i)$  table has 2 entries and there are  $n$  of them for a total of  $2n$  values

24

## Independence

- Independence is powerful!
- It required extra domain knowledge. A different kind of knowledge than numerical probabilities. It needed an understanding of relationships among the random variables.

25

## CW: Practice

Are Coin and Card independent in this distribution?

Recall:

$$P(X | Y) = P(X)$$

$$P(Y | X) = P(Y)$$

$$P(X, Y) = P(X)P(Y)$$

for independent X and Y

| Coin  | Card  | Candy | P(Coin, Card, Candy) |
|-------|-------|-------|----------------------|
| tails | black | 1     | 0.15                 |
| tails | black | 2     | 0.06                 |
| tails | black | 3     | 0.09                 |
| tails | red   | 1     | 0.02                 |
| tails | red   | 2     | 0.06                 |
| tails | red   | 3     | 0.12                 |
| heads | black | 1     | 0.075                |
| heads | black | 2     | 0.03                 |
| heads | black | 3     | 0.045                |
| heads | red   | 1     | 0.035                |
| heads | red   | 2     | 0.105                |
| heads | red   | 3     | 0.21                 |

26