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## Lecture Topics:

- Macros
- Recursion

Macros

## Procedure (Review)

- Separate, named sections of code
- May have parameters
- Calling mechanism
- Return mechanism
- During assembly, procedure code is translated once
- During execution, control is transferred to the procedure at each call (activation record, etc.). May be called many times.
- All labels, etc. are local to the activation record.


## Macro

- Separate, named section of code
- May have parameters
- Once defined, it can be invoked (called) one or more times
- Use name only (don't use CALL)
- During assembly, entire macro code is substituted for each call (expansion)
- Similar to a constant
- Invisible to the programmer


## Defining Macros

- A macro must be defined before it can be invoked (i.e., in the program file, the definition must precede any invocations).
- Parameters are optional.
- Each parameter follows the rules for identifiers.
- Syntax:

statement-list

ENDM

## Invoking Macros

- To invoke a macro, just give the name and the arguments (if any).
- Each argument matches a declared parameter
- Each parameter is replaced by its corresponding argument when the macro is expanded.
- When a macro expands, it generates assembly language source code


## Example Macro Definition and Call

- Sets up registers and uses Irvine' library WriteString

```
mWriteStr MACRO buffer
    \checkmark ~ p u s h ~ e d x
        mov edx,OFFSET buffer
        call WriteString
        \checkmark \text { pop edx}
ENDM
    .data
str1 BYTE "Welcome!",10,13,0
str2 BYTE "Please tell me your name ",0
.code
    mWriteStr str1
    mWriteStr str2
```


## Example Macro Expansion

- The expanded code shows how the str1 argument replaced the parameter named buffer:



## Example Macro Definition and Call

- The mReadStr macro provides a convenient wrapper around ReadString procedure calls.

```
mReadStr MACRO varName
    push ecx
    push edx
    mov edx,OFFSET varName
    mov ecx, SIZEOF varName
    call ReadString
    pop edx
    pop ecx
ENDM
.data
firstName BYTE 30 DUP(?)
.code
    mReadStr firstName
```


## A More Complex Macro

```
seq macro a, b ; Print a sequence
    mov eax,a ; from a to b
    mov ebx,b
test:
    cmp eax,ebx ; if a <= b
    jg quit
    ; print a and repeat
    call WriteDec ; otherwise quit
    inc eax
    jmp test
quit:
endm
```


## What's the Problem?

- Code is expanded for each call
- If the macro is called more than once ...

Duplicate labels

## A More Complex Macro

```
seq macro a, b ; Print a sequence
    mov eax,a ; from a to b
    mov ebx,b
test:
    cmp eax,ebx ; if a <= b
    jg quit ; print a and repeat
    call WriteDec ; otherwise quit
    inc eax
    jmp test
quit:
endm
```


## Duplicate Labels

- You can specify that a label is LOCAL
- MASM handles the problem by appending a unique number to the label

| Seq | macro | $a, b$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOCAL | test |  |
| LOCAL | quit |  |
|  |  | ; Print a sequence |
| mov | eax, a | ; from a to b |
| mov | ebx,b |  |
| test: |  |  |
| cmp | eax, ebx | ; if $\mathrm{a}<=\mathrm{b}$ |
| jg | quit |  |
| - . . |  |  |

## Parameters

- Arguments are substituted exactly as entered, so any valid argument can be used
- There is no checking for memory, registers, or literals
- Example calls to seq:

| seq | $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$ | ;memory |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| seq | ecx,edx | ;registers |
| seq | 1,20 | ;literals |

## Another Problem ebx eax

```
seq macro a, b
mov eax,a
mov ebx,b
test:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
cmp & eax, ebx & \(;\) if \(a<=\mathrm{b}\) \\
jg & quit & \(;\) print a and repeat \\
call WriteDec & \(;\) otherwise quit \\
inc & eax & \\
jmp & test &
\end{tabular}
quit:
endm
```

- What if macro is called with conflicting register parameters:
- E.g.,
seq ebx, eax
- This macro would always print one number.


## Macro vs. Procedure

- Macros are very convenient, easy to understand
- Macros actually execute faster than procedures
- No return address, stack manipulation, etc.
- Macros are invoked by name
- Parameter are "in-line"
- Macro does not have a ret statement (why?)
- Why would you ever use a procedure instead of macro?
- If the macro is called many times, the assembler produces "fat code"
- Invisible to the programmer
- Each macro call expands the program code by the length of the macro code


## Macro vs. Procedure

- Use a macro for short code that is called "a few" times, and uses only a few registers.
- Use a procedure for more complex tasks or code that is called "many" times.
- The terms "few" and "many" are relative to the size of the whole program
- For both: Save registers!
- Is it OK to invoke a macro inside of a loop that executes 100 times?
- Is it OK to invoke a procedure inside of a loop that executes 100 times?


## Demo

- Shows macros, macro calls, and macro parameters


## Recursion

## Recursion

- Many processes are defined by using previous results of the same process
- Example: summation ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ ) when $\mathrm{a}<=\mathrm{b}$
- Iterative definition:
- Summation $(a, b)=a+(a+1)+(a+2)+\ldots+b$
- Recursive definition:


## $\sum_{i=a}^{a} i=a$



## Recursion

- Note that the definition has two parts



## Recursive in Computer Programs

- Recursion occurs in programs when:
- A procedure calls itself
- Procedure A calls procedure B, which in turn calls procedure A
- Calls are repeated in a cycle of procedure calls
- Recursion in programs mirrors recursive definitions


## Example (pseudo-code)

```
function summation (a,b) returns sum of
    integers from a to b.
```

preconditions: a <= b
function summation (int $a$, int $b$ ):
if $a==b$
return a
else return $a+$ summation ( $a+1, b$ )


## Demo

- Recursive version of summation problem
- Issues:
- Using stack frames* for recursion is essential.
- Why?
- What causes stack overflow?
- Why pass all 3 parameters (since 2 of them never change)?
*stack frame, activation frame, activation record

Recursion Warnings

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(0)=1 \\
& F(1)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

- A good mathematical recursive definition does not necessarily imply a recursive procedure.
- Example: Fibonacci sequence

$$
F(n)=F(n-1)+F(n-1)
$$

- Be sure that
- The base case is defined
- The base case is reachable
- The recursive calls approach the base case

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(5) & =F(4)+F(3) \\
& =F(3)+F(2)+F(2)+F(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Infinite (or too much) recursion results in "stack overflow"

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W^{W \prime} F(2)+F(1)+F(1)-F(1)+ \\
& F(1)+F(D)+1 \\
& =\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

