CS 261-020 Data Structures

Lecture 7 Stack, Queue, Deque (cont.) Encapsulation and Iterators 2/6/24, Tuesday



1

Odds and Ends

- Recitation 5 posted
- Assignment 2 due Sunday midnight
- Assignment 1 demo due Friday (2/9)
- Midterm:
 - Tuesday (2/13) during lecture time
 - Same classroom
 - Review on Thursday

Lecture Topics:

- Stacks, Queues, and Deques
 - Linear ADTs
- Encapsulation and Iterators

- Using a dynamic array,
 - Front of the queue = front of the array
 - Back of the queue = back of the array
- Ex. A queue with 3 values (1 at the front, 5 at the back)



• Enqueue a new value \rightarrow insert it at the end of the array

• What about dequeue?

3

- Dequeue:
 - Option 1: remove the front, and shift all the remaining to left
 - Drawback: O(n) runtime complexity for each dequeue \rightarrow NOT GOOD!!!

5

- Option 2: allow the front of the queue to *"float" back* into the middle of the array.
 - Need to keep track of the start of the data



5



- An array that allows data to wrap around from the back to the front is known as a circular buffer
- Q: How do we know which index corresponds to the back of the queue?
 - By computing a mapping between the array's *logical indices* and its *physical indices*
- Logical indices the indices relative to the start of the data
- Physical indices the indices relative to the start of the physical array



- OR: physical = (start + logical) % capacity;
- Index at which the next element will be inserted:
 - Previously: array[size] when the data starts at physical index 0
 - Now: array[physical] where physical = (start + size) % capacity

- Dynamic Array resizing for the queue implementation
- When do we need to resize?
 - size >= capacity
- When resize, reindex!
 - Logical index $0 \leftrightarrow \rightarrow$ Physical index 0
- How?
 - Loop through the logical indices from 0 to size 1
 - Copy elements at each logical index in the old array to the equivalent physical index in the new array

• Visually, look like this:



physical = (start + logical) % capacity;

- Complexity:
 - Dequeue O(1) for all best-case, worst-case, and average case
 - Enqueue
 - O(1) for best-case and average case
 - O(n) for worst-case, when resize is needed

Deques

- A deque (double-ended queue) is a linear ADT that supports insertion and removal at both ends
- Examples: multi-processor job scheduling
- Four primary operations:
 - Add to front
 - Add to back
 - Remove from front
 - Remove from back

- Very similar to dynamic array-based queue implementation
 - Using circular buffer
- Not covered in this class
- FYI: <u>https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/implementation-deque-using-</u> <u>circular-array/</u>

- Since a deque supports removal from both front and back, we need to use a doubly linked list
 - Allows to remove from the back and find the new back
- Use front and back sentinel in the list
 - Sentinel: a special node that is never removed from the list (doesn't store a value)



• Values are inserted into the list in nodes that live between the sentinels. For example:



- Add front: insert a new node after the front sentinel
- Add back: insert a new node before the back sentinel
- Remove front: remove the node after the front sentinel
- Remove back: remove the node before the back sentinel

- Why do we use sentinels?
 - w/o sentinels, each operation would have to implemented differently, i.e.:
 - Add to the front w/o sentinels \rightarrow update the head pointer upon each insertion
 - Add to the back w/o sentinels \rightarrow update the tail pointer upon each insertion
 - w/ sentinels, both insertions (add to front and add to back) can use the exact same mechanics
 - So can both of the removal operations

 add_before() – insert a new node with a given value before a specified node already in the list, i.e.:

void add before(void* value, struct node* next) { struct node* new node = malloc(sizeof(struct node)); next new node->value = value; new node->prev = next->prev; next->prev->next = new node; new node->next = next; next->prev = new node;

Since our list uses sentinels, then our add_to_front() becomes:

```
void add_to_front(void* value) {
    add_before(value, front_sentinel->next);
}
```

• Our add_to_back() becomes:

```
void add_to_back(void* value) {
    add_before(value, back_sentinel);
}
```

• Similarly, assuming our list has a remove_node() function, then our remove_front() becomes:

```
void remove_front() {
    remove_node(front_sentinel->next);
}
```

• Our remove_back() becomes:

```
void remove_back() {
    remove_node(back_sentinel->prev);
}
```

• To check if the list is empty:

```
if (front_sentinel->next == back_sentinel)
```

- Complexity:
 - Add to front O(1)
 - Add to back O(1)
 - Remove front O(1)
 - Remove back O(1)

*For all best case, worst case, and average case

Lecture Topics:

- Stacks, Queues, and Deques
 Linear ADTs
- Encapsulation and Iterators

Have you seen this error before?

dereferencing a pointer of incomplete type



Encapsulation

- Encapsulation hide the internal details of a data type from the user of that data type, instead exposing only a simplified interface through which the user interacts with the data type
 - User another developer who will be using the code we've written
- For example, linked list implementation has hidden the details of the list implementation behind a simplified interface.
 - Only the name of linked list data type was exposed to the user (i.e., struct list)
 - If the user tried to access internal fields (list->head) → error
 - "dereferencing a pointer of incomplete type"

Why Encapsulation?

- Reduces the cognitive overhead to understand
- Cannot misuse (and possibly break) the data type
 - Cannot set list->head to NULL (could cause a memory leak)
- Easier to implement the data type
 - Avoid tedious error checking
- Potential challenges:
 - What if our user wants to *iterate* through each element in the collection within a loop?
 - Problem: cannot access the internals, i.e., for linked list, cannot access the head

Iterator

- Iterator a data type acts as a companion to a collection and provides a mechanism to iterate through that collection
 - Implemented to have access to the internals of the collection
- Each specific kind of collection will have its own iterator data type
- Two common functions:
 - next () returns the current value, and moves the iterator to the next element
 - has_next () returns true or false to indicate whether or not there is another element afterwards

To use an Iterator

• Assuming we have an iterator iter over a collection:

```
while (has_next(iter)) {
    value = next(iter);
    ... /* Do something with value. */
}
```

Linked list Iterator

- Implement an iterator for a linked list:
 - In C: defined within the same file
 - In C++: using nested classes or friend
- Our linked list iterator must have access to the internals of the linked list: struct node {

```
void* value;
void* value;
struct node* next;
};
struct list {
struct list {
struct node* head;
};
```

Linked list Iterator

• 1. define a structure to represent the list iterator

1.st

- How to iterate? Using a pointer (i.e., curr) to represent the current node
- Initially points to the head, and moves to the next (i.e., curr = curr -> next;)

```
struct list_iterator {
    struct node* curr;
};
```

• 2. implement a function to create a new iterator and associate it with a list to iterate:

```
struct list_iterator* list_iterator_create(struct list* list) {
    struct list_iterator* iter = malloc(sizeof(struct list_iterator));
    iter->curr = list->head;
    return iter;
```

Linked list Iterator

• 3. Implement has_next()

```
int has_next(struct list_iterator* iter) {
   return iter->curr != NULL;
}
```

• 4. Implement next()

```
void* next(struct list_iterator* iter) {
   void* value = iter->curr->value;
   iter->curr = iter->curr->next;
   return value;
}
```

• *5. Polish (i.e., add error checking)



Next Lecture

- Binary Search
- Midterm Review

•